

Question A

1. $W1 = (\text{Baseline} - \text{Post})/2^{**}.5$
 $W2 = (\text{Baseline} - \text{Followup})/2^{**}.5$
 $X1 = -2$ if control, 1 if CBT or CBTAA
 $X2 = 0$ if control, -1 if CBT, 1 if CBTAA

W1 Regression

```
proc reg;  
model W1 = X1 X2/ss2 pcorr2 tol;  
run;
```

W2 Regression

```
proc reg;  
model W2 = X1 X2/ss2 pcorr2 tol;  
run;
```

2. A test of the intercept in the W1 model
3. A test of X1 in the W1 model
4. A test of X2 in the W1 model
5. A test of X1 in the W2 model
6. A test of X2 in the W2 model
7. The δ weights were:

| | <u>Baseline</u> | <u>Followup</u> | <u>Post</u> |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| $W1(\delta_1)$ | 1 | 0 | -1 |
| $W2(\delta_2)$ | 1 | -1 | 0 |

If the two within-subject codes are orthogonal, then $\sum \delta_{1k} \delta_{2k} = 0$. However,

$$\sum \delta_{1k} \delta_{2k} = (1)(1) + (0)(-1) + (-1)(0) = 1$$

Therefore, the within-subject codes are not orthogonal.

8.

| | <u>Control</u> | <u>CBT</u> | <u>CBTAA</u> |
|----|----------------|------------|--------------|
| X3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| X4 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

```
proc reg;  
model W1 = X3 X4;  
run;
```

The coefficient to be tested is the intercept.

9. A: $BATT = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X1 + \beta_2 X2 + \epsilon_i$
C: $BATT = \beta_0 + \epsilon_i$

$$10. \text{ A: } W1 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X1 + \beta_2 X2 + \beta_3 \text{BATT} + \beta_4 X1 \times \text{BATT} + \beta_5 X2 \times \text{BATT} + \epsilon_i$$

$$\text{C: } W1 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X1 + \beta_2 X2 + \beta_3 \text{BATT} + \beta_5 X2 \times \text{BATT} + \epsilon_i$$

Question B

1. The mean accuracy of the average participant is:

$$\frac{\text{dom1} + \text{dom2} + \text{dom3} + \text{non1} + \text{non2} + \text{non3}}{6} = \frac{9.78}{6} = 1.63$$

2. A: $\text{SUM} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{ORDER} + \epsilon_i$
 C: $\text{SUM} = \beta_0 + \epsilon_i$
 PRE = .0263
 $F(1,16) = .43$
 $p = .5201$

The evidence suggests that accuracy did not depend on which hand participants threw with first.

3. A: $\text{DOMVSNONDOM} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{ORDER} + \epsilon_i$
 C: $\text{DOMVSNONDOM} = 0 + \beta_1 \text{ORDER} + \epsilon_i$

$$\text{PRE} = \frac{\text{SSR}}{\text{SSR} + \text{SSEa}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SSR} &= n(\beta_0)^2 \\ &= 18(1.78)^2 \\ &= 57.03 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{SSEa} = 120.89$$

$$\text{PRE} = \frac{57.03}{57.03 + 120.89} = .32$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(1,16) &= (2.74)^2 = 7.50 \\ p &= .0144 \end{aligned}$$

Accuracy was greater when participants threw with their dominant hand than with their non-dominant hand.

4. A: $\text{DOMVSNONDOM} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{ORDER} + \epsilon_i$
 C: $\text{DOMVSNONDOM} = \beta_0 + \epsilon_i$

$$\text{PRE} = \frac{\text{SSR}}{\text{SSR} + \text{SSEa}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SSR} &= n(\beta_1)^2 \\ &= 18(-1.11)^2 \\ &= 22.18 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SSEa} &= 120.89 \\ \text{PRE} &= \frac{22.18}{22.18 + 120.89} = .155 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(1,16) &= (-1.71)^2 = 2.924 \\ p &= .1056 \end{aligned}$$

The difference in accuracy between dominant and nondominant hand did not depend on which hand the participants threw with first.

5. A: LINEAR = $\beta_0 + \beta_1\text{ORDER} + \varepsilon_i$
 C: LINEAR = $0 + \beta_1\text{ORDER} + \varepsilon_i$

$$\text{PRE} = \frac{\text{SSR}}{\text{SSR} + \text{SSEa}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SSR} &= n(\beta_0)^2 \\ &= 18(.78)^2 \\ &= 10.89 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{SSEa} = 95.11$$

$$\text{PRE} = \frac{10.89}{10.89 + 95.11} = .103$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(1,16) &= (1.35)^2 = 1.823 \\ p &= .1947 \end{aligned}$$

On average, accuracy of scores does not improve linearly across the set of three throws.

6. A: LINEARINT = $\beta_0 + \beta_1\text{ORDER} + \varepsilon_i$
 C: LINEARINT = $0 + \beta_1\text{ORDER} + \varepsilon_i$

$$\text{PRE} = \frac{\text{SSR}}{\text{SSR} + \text{SSEa}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SSR} &= n(\beta_0)^2 \\ &= 18(-.33)^2 \\ &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{SSEa} = 76.44$$

$$\text{PRE} = \frac{2.00}{2.00 + 76.44} = .0255$$

$$F(1,16) = (-.65)^2 = .4225$$

$$p = .5268$$

The extent to which there is linear improvement in throwing accuracy does not depend on the hand used to throw.

7. A: $\text{LINEARINT} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{ORDER} + \varepsilon_i$
 C: $\text{LINEARINT} = \beta_0 + \varepsilon_i$

$$\text{PRE} = \frac{\text{SSR}}{\text{SSR} + \text{SSEa}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SSR} &= n(\beta_1)^2 \\ &= 18(-.556)^2 \\ &= 5.56 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{SSEa} = 76.44$$

$$\text{PRE} = \frac{5.56}{5.56 + 76.44} = .0678$$

$$F(1,16) = (-1.08)^2 = 1.16$$

$$p = .2969$$

The strength of the interaction does not depend on the hand participants threw with first.

8. $\text{DOMVSNONDOM} = 1.778 - 1.11\text{ORDER}$
 $\text{ORDER} = -1$ if participant threw first with their nondominant hand

$$\text{DOMVSNONDOM} = 1.778 - 1.11(-1)$$

$$\text{DOMVSNONDOM} = 1.778 + 1.11$$

$$\text{DOMVSNONDOM} = 2.89$$

The difference in accuracy between dominant and nondominant hands for this group is predicted to be 2.889, with accuracy greater for the dominant hand than for the nondominant hand.